

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	—			/	'	
Advisory Action	Application No. 10/006,432	Applicant(s)	Krispir	,]		
	Examiner Estremsky		Art Unit 3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet wit	th the corres	pondence addre	ess	7	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Sep 29, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a till allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR	void the abandonment mely filed amendment	of this appli which place iled Request	cation. A propes the applicati	per reply to a on in condition	on for	
a) X The period for reply expires 4 months from the	the mailing date of the fina	ıl rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR See MPEP 706.07(f).	for reply expire later than	SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	date of the		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determinant appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce the set of the final rejection.	nining the period of extens rom: (1) the expiration date checked. Any reply receiv	sion and the c e of the short ed by the Off	orresponding ame ened statutory pe ice later than thr	ount of the fee eriod for reply o ee months afte	. The priginally	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on			•	riod set forth	in in	
2. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or s	search (see	NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b	elow);					
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal	by material	ly reducing or	simplifying th	ıe	
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding numl	per of finally	rejected claim	ns.		
NOTE: <u>Amendments introducing new limitations v</u>	vould require further se	earch and co	onsideration.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 5 (a a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the r	non-allowable claim(s).		uld be allowabl			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration ha	as been con	sidered but do	es NOT place	; the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
, , , , , , , ,	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 6-11 and 13-22						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 12, and 23</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· · · ·			1		
	:- 21	proved as 1	h) [] diagrams if	Ad by Abo For	omina-	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on			p) 🗆 disapprov	Dy/lile Exa	21111119F. 	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s).	——·	PETPENSON	1	
10. Other:			P/RIŅ	ESTREMSKY MARY EXAMII RT UNIT 367		